UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,280	06/22/2007	Rainer Pommersheim	1374-7 PCT/US	9396	
33769 Gerald T. Bodn	7590 09/28/201 er	0	EXAMINER		
BODNER & O'ROURKE, LLP			NAFF, DAVID M		
	BROADHOLLOW ROAD, SUITE 120 LVILLE, NY 11747			PAPER NUMBER	
			1657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Abandanmant	10/591,280 POMMERSHEIM, RAINER		M, RAINER
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Naff	1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8. (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 	5).		
), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	nd publication fee) s	et in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).	iired by, and within the three-month μ	period set in, the No	tice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smissio n dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for see	king court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:			
	/David M. Naff/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1657	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100924 Part of Paper No. 20100924